

**REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 15 and 20 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claim 1 is amended. Claims 9-14 and 16-19 are canceled without prejudice to, or disclaimer of, the subject matter recited therein. Support for the amendments to claim 1 may be found at least at Figure 7 and corresponding portions of the specification. No new matter is added. Reconsideration and prompt allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

The courtesies extended to Applicants' representatives by Examiner Kramer at the interview held August 31 are appreciated. The reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action are incorporated into the remarks below, which constitute Applicants' record of the interview.

**Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112**

The Office Action rejects claims 9, 11 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 9, 11 and 14 have been canceled, thus the rejection is moot.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

**Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103**

The Office Action rejects claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,155,773 (Ebbing) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,513,848 (Shendon); and rejects claims 5, 10-12, 15 and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Ebbing in view of Shendon and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,300,082 (Rogers). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

The Office Action asserts that Ebbing and Shendon teach or render obvious each and every feature of independent claim 1. As discussed and agreed to during the personal interview, Applicants respectfully submit that Ebbing and Shendon at least fail to teach and would not have rendered obvious "wherein at least one of the first and the second movable

members contacts the substrate along a substantially similar distance to which at least one of the first and the second guiding members contacts the substrate," as recited in independent claim 1.

The Office Action asserts that Ebbing and Shendon allegedly teach the presently claimed guiding and movable members. However, as discussed during the personal interview, Ebbing and Shendon cannot teach "at least one of the first and the second movable members contacts the substrate along a substantially similar distance to which at least one of the first and the second guiding members contacts the substrate" because the alleged guiding and movable members could not possibly contact the substrate at a distance similar to what is presently claimed. In particular, the alleged guiding and movable members of Ebbing and Shendon are so disproportionate in size and the distances at which they contact the substrate are so different that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have obtained the presently claimed features based on their teachings. See Ebbing at Fig. 6, elements 68, 70, 90 and 92; and see Shendon at Figs. 10, 11, 18 and 19, elements 64, 70, 111 and 112. Furthermore, Rogers fails to cure the deficiencies of Ebbing and Shendon.

Thus, the applied references taken alone or in any combination, fail to teach and would not have rendered obvious the presently claimed combination of features.

Claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 15 and 20 depend from claim 1. Because the applied references fail to anticipate or render obvious the features recited in independent claim 1, dependent claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 15 and 20 are patentable for at least the reasons that claim 1 is patentable, as well as for the additional features they recite.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

**Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Smith Sirisakorn  
Registration No. 65,056

JAO:SZS/hms

Date: September 10, 2009

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 320850**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--